SPhT/94/039

# Spinons in Conformal Field Theory.

arXiv:hep-th/9404050v1 8 Apr 1994

D. Bernard, V. Pasquier and D. Serban.
Service de Physique Théorique,
CE Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Abstract

We study the $su(2)$ conformal field theory in its spinon description, adapted to the Yangian invariance. By evaluating the action of the Yangian generators on the primary fields, we find a new connection between this conformal field theory and the Calogero-Sutherland model with $su(2)$ spin. We use this connection to describe how the spinons are the quasi-particles spanning the irreducible Yangian multiplet, and also to exhibit operators creating the $N$-spinon highest weight vectors.

02/94

## 1. Introduction

The spin chain with long range interaction possesses remarkable properties [1] [2] [3] : its elementary excitations can be described in terms of free particles, the spinons, obyeing a fractional statistics. Most of the properties of the spin chain survive in the conformal limit [4], which is described by the $su(2)$ level one WZW model.

In particular, this gives an alternative description of the spectrum of the $su(2)_{k=1}$ theory in terms of spinons. This description is closely related to the new character formula for the minimal models [5].

In this paper we relate the $su(2)$ WZW model at level one to the Calogero-Sutherland model with spins [6] [7] [8] [9], alternatively called the dynamical model. Continuing the work of [4] we show that there is a representation of the Yangian algebra in the conformal field theory, commuting with a set of hamiltonians. The first of these hamiltonians is the generator $L_0$ of the Virasoro algebra and the second and third are natural extensions of the spin chain Hamiltonians. In ref. [9], it was shown that the Calogero-Sutherland model with spin is also Yangian invariant. The connection between the two models is established by showing that the preceding operators of the conformal field theory act on a product of primary fields as the corresponding operators of the Calogero-Sutherland model.

A relation between the $1/r^2$ spin chain and the Calogero-Sutherland model was already known, and actually used to solve the spin chain [1] [2]. The connection is established by constructing the spin chain Hilbert space over the ferromagnetic vacuum : it leads to a coupling constant $\lambda = -2$ for the Calogero-Sutherland model, in the normalisation of ref. [9]. Here, the relation between the two models is different. The Hilbert space is constructed over the conformal vacuum which is the antiferromagnetic vacuum of the spin chain : it leads to a coupling constant $\lambda = -1/2$. This is an illustration of the "mirror" duality $\lambda \to 1/\lambda$ of the Calogero-Sutherland model which interchanges the two models with coupling constants $\lambda$ and $1/\lambda$ [10] [11].

In section two we recall how two complex fermions separate in two independent semions, via the bosonisation. In section three we show that the states of the $\widehat{su(2)}_{k=1}$ theory can be represented in terms of spinons. In section four we prove that the operators defined in [4] form a representation of the Yangian algebra. In section four we identify the irreducible sub-representations of this algebra and in section six we construct the highest weight vectors of these representations. Section seven contains the expressions of the first three conserved charges commuting with the Yangian generators.

## 2. Spinon-Holon separation in CFT.

Consider two complex fermions $\Psi_\sigma$ and $\Psi_\sigma^\dagger$, $\sigma = \uparrow,\ \downarrow$, with operator product expansion :

$$\Psi_\sigma^\dagger(z)\Psi_{\sigma'}(w) \sim \frac{\delta_{\sigma;\sigma'}}{z-w} \tag{2.1}$$

After Bardaki and Halpern [12], it is well known that the currents $J^a(z)$, bilinear in the fermions and defined by,

$$\begin{cases} J^+ =: \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger \Psi_\downarrow : \\ J^- =: \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger \Psi_\uparrow : \end{cases} \quad , \quad J^0 =: \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger \Psi_\uparrow : - : \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger \Psi_\downarrow : \tag{2.2}$$

form a representation of the current algebra $\widehat{su(2)}$ at level $k = 1$. This representation is highly reducible in the fermionic Fock space. In particular, the currents $J^a(z)$ commute with the fermion number current. What is less familiar, but which is a direct generalisation of the $so(4)$ symmetry of the Hubbard model [13], is that there exists another set of $\widehat{su(2)}$ currents $K^a(z)$. They are defined by,

$$\begin{cases} K^+ =: \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger : \\ K^- =: \Psi_\uparrow \Psi_\downarrow : \end{cases} \quad , \quad K^0 =: \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger \Psi_\uparrow : + : \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger \Psi_\downarrow : \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, these currents commute with the currents (2.2). The simplest way to realize it consists in bosonizing the fermions. Let us introduce two real bosonic fields $\varphi_\sigma(z)$, $\sigma = \uparrow,\ \downarrow$, normalized to,

$$\langle \varphi_\sigma(z)\varphi_{\sigma'}(w)\rangle = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \log(z-w) \tag{2.4}$$

The bosonization rules are :

$$i\partial_z \varphi_\sigma =: \Psi_\sigma^\dagger \Psi_\sigma : , \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_\sigma^\dagger = e^{i\varphi_\sigma} \\ \Psi_\sigma = e^{-i\varphi_\sigma} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Define now the "spin" and "charge" bosonic sectors by introducing the normalized fields $\varphi_s$ and $\varphi_c$,

$$\varphi_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\varphi_\uparrow - \varphi_\downarrow\right), \quad \varphi_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\varphi_\uparrow + \varphi_\downarrow\right) \tag{2.6}$$

The currents (2.2) and (2.3) are then given by :

$$\begin{cases} J^\pm = e^{\pm i\sqrt{2}\varphi_s} \\ J^0 = i\sqrt{2}\partial_z \varphi_s \end{cases} \quad , \quad \begin{cases} K^\pm = e^{\pm i\sqrt{2}\varphi_c} \\ K^0 = i\sqrt{2}\partial_z \varphi_c \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

This is the standard free field construction [14] [15] of the level one representation of $su(2)$.

Since by construction the spin and charge bosons commute, the spin currents $J^a(z)$ and the charge currents $K^a(z)$ are also mutually commuting. Naively, the symmetry algebra would thus be $\widehat{su(2)} \times \widehat{su(2)}$. But as we will see below, there is $Z_2$ condition, similar to what happens in the Hubbard model, which reduces the symmetry to $\widehat{so(4)} = \widehat{su(2)} \times \widehat{su(2)}/Z_2$.

After bosonization the fermions fractionalize in two commuting parts, the spinon and the holon :

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_\uparrow(z) = \phi_s^+(z)\phi_c^+(z) \\ \Psi_\downarrow(z) = \phi_s^-(z)\phi_c^+(z) \end{cases} , \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_\uparrow^\dagger(z) = \phi_s^-(z)\phi_c^-(z) \\ \Psi_\downarrow^\dagger(z) = \phi_s^+(z)\phi_c^-(z) \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

with $\phi_{s,c}^\pm = e^{\pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varphi_{s,c}}$ In sect. 6 we will show how the operators $\phi_s^\pm(z)$ create the one spinon states. Eq. (2.8) expresses the fact that the fermions fractionalize into particles satisfying a half-integer statistics : spinons and holons are "semions" [3] [16].

The fermionic Fock space is now finitely reducible for the $\widehat{so(4)}$ affine algebra. For concretness, let us consider the case for which both fermions are in the Neveu-Schwarz sector. The partition function is then :

$$Z_{\mathrm{NS}^2} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(q^{L_0}\right) = \prod_{n>1}\left(1+q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{\eta(q)^2}\sum_{p_\uparrow,p_\downarrow\in Z} q^{\frac{1}{2}(p_\uparrow^2+p_\downarrow^2)} \tag{2.9}$$

Here, $\eta(q) = \prod_{n\geq 0}(1-q^n)$. In the second equation we used the bosonization formula (2.5). Introduce now the spin and charge zero modes, $p_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_\downarrow - p_\uparrow)$ and $p_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_\downarrow + p_\uparrow)$. Since in the $\mathrm{NS}^2$ sector the zero modes $p_\sigma$ are both integers, the momentum $(p_s\sqrt{2})$ and $(p_c\sqrt{2})$ are always both even or both odd. Taking this $Z_2$ constraint into account, we find :

$$Z_{\mathrm{NS}^2} = \Lambda_0^{(s)}(q)\Lambda_0^{(c)}(q) + \Lambda_1^{(s)}(q)\Lambda_1^{(c)}(q) \tag{2.10}$$

where $\Lambda_j$, $j = 0,\ 1$ are the character of the level one representation of $\widehat{su(2)}$ :

$$\Lambda_j(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)}\sum_{p\in Z+j/2} q^{p^2} \tag{2.11}$$

For the Haldane-Shastry spin chain, the number of fermions per site is exactly one, so the charge degrees of freedom are frozen. In the conformal limit, this constraint is equivalent to the condition :

$$K_n|phys\rangle = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

which leads us to consider only one of the two $\widehat{su(2)}$ subalgebras.

## 3. Spinon representation of $\widehat{su(2)}$.

The low-energy limit of the Haldane-Shastry spin chain gives a description of the level one representation of $\widehat{su(2)}$ in terms of spinons [4]. To each state is associated a motif which is a semi-infinite sequence of symbols 0 and 1 with the rules that a) two 1 can never be adjacent b) the sequence stabilizes at infinity to a sequence of alternating 0 and 1. and c) the first figure of the sequence is a 0. There are two possible asymptotic behaviors: the symbols 1 are either on even or on odd positions. These two asymptotics correspond to the two level one representations of $\widehat{su(2)}$. The sequence $01010101\cdots$ corresponds to the vacuum, and the sequence $001010101\cdots$ to the spin half primary field. The excited states are therefore described by finite rearrangement of these two primary sequences. A motif can be coded into the sequence of integers $\{m_j\}$ indicating the positions of the symbols 1. For example the vacuum sequence is $\{m_j^{(0)} = 2j+1,\ j \geq 0\}$, and the spin one half primary sequence is $\{m_j^{(1)} = 2j+2,\ j \geq 0\}$.

A given motif corresponds to a multiplet of states. This degeneracy is best described in terms of spinons. We refer to the interval between the 1's in position $m_k$ and $m_{k+1}$ as the spinon orbital of momemtum $k$. $(Q+1)$ consecutive 0 in the $k^{\text{th}}$ orbital represent $Q$ spinons of momentum $k$, or equivalently, the total occupation number of the $k^{\text{th}}$ orbital is $n_k = m_{k+1} - m_k - 2$. The degeneracy of a motif is recovered by considering the spinons in each orbital as spin $\frac{1}{2}$ bosons. Therefore, in each orbital there is an independent $su(2)$ symmetry under which the spinons are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ objects.

Let us give an alternative description of the degeneracy of a multiplet which has the advantage of being generalisable to the finite chain as well as to the $\widehat{su(n)}$ case. Consider the configurations of a semi infinite chain of spins taking the values + or −. To a configuration we assign a sequence of 0 and 1 by applying the following rules: Put a 0 between consecutive spins if the second spin is less or equal than the first one, and put a 1 if the second spin is larger than the first one. Also put a 0 in front of the first spin of the chain. Following these rules, two 1 cannot be consecutive. The number of spin configurations which correspond to a motif of 0 and 1 gives the number of states in the multiplet of this motif. This description of the degeneracies is very similar to the cristal basis used in quantum groups [17]. To have a better understanding of this connection, one would need the q deformation of the spin chain hamiltonian [9].

The Virasoro generator $L_0$ acts on the motifs by $L_0 = \sum_i \left( m_i - m_i^{(j)} \right)$ where $m_i^{(j)}$, $j = 0, 1$ is the appropriate primary sequence. In the spinon description it becomes :

$$L_0 = \left( \frac{N_{sp}}{2} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sp}} k_i = \left( \frac{N_{sp}}{2} \right)^2 + \sum_{k \geq 0} k \; n_k \tag{3.1}$$

where $n_k$ is the occupation number of the $k^{\text{th}}$ orbital, and $N_{sp}$ the total occupation number: $N_{sp} = \sum_k n_k$. In the vacuum representation the number of spinons $N_{sp}$ is even, while in the spin half representation it is always odd. In other words, in a given sector the spinons can only be created by pairs.

Let us now compute the characters using the spinon description. Using (3.1) and the fact that the spinons are spin $\frac{1}{2}$ objects, we have :

$$\Lambda(q; z) = \text{Tr} \left( q^{L_0} \; z^{J_0^0} \right) = \sum_{\{n_k\}} z^{\frac{(n^+ - n^-)}{2}} q^{(n^+ + n^-)^2/4} q^{\sum_k k(n_k^+ + n_k^-)} \tag{3.2}$$

where $n_k^\pm$ are the up and down spinon occupation numbers, and $n^\pm = \sum_k n_k^\pm$. Using the identity,

$$\sum_{k_1 \geq \ldots \geq k_N} q^{\sum_{i=1}^N k_i} = \prod_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{m_l=0}^{\infty} q^{l m_l} \tag{3.3}$$

we can write eq. (3.2) as :

$$\Lambda(q; z) = \sum_{n^+, n^-} z^{\frac{(n^+ - n^-)}{2}} \; \frac{q^{(n^+ + n^-)^2/4}}{(q)_{n^+} (q)_{n^-}} \tag{3.4}$$

with $(q)_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j)$. This quasi-particle formula for the characters recently appeared in [18].

## 4. Yangian invariance of $\widehat{su(2)}$.

The Haldane-Shastry $su(2)$ spin chain has an infinite symmetry, the $su(2)$ Yangian. This suggests that the conformal field theory which describes the low energy limit of this model possesses the same symmetry. The first two generators of the Yangian, which are sufficient to determine all the others, were defined in [4] :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0^a &= J_0^a \\ Q_1^a &= g \oint\limits_{|z_1| > |z_2|} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \oint\limits_{C_0} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i} \; \theta_{12} \; f^{abc} : J^b(z_1) J^c(z_2) : \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\theta_{12} = z_1/(z_1 - z_2)$, $C_0$ is a contour around the origin and $g$ a normalization constant to be fixed later. The double dots represent the normal ordering operation. The field $J^a(z)$ are defined by their Fourier expansion $J^a(z) = \sum_n J^a_n z^{-n-1}$ with the modes $J^a_n$ being the generators of the Kac-Moody algebra: $\left[J^a_n, J^b_m\right] = f^{abc} J^c_{n+m} + kn\delta_{n+m,0}\delta^{ab}$. In terms of these modes :

$$Q^a_1 = \; g f^{abc} \sum_{m>0} : J^b_{-m} J^c_m : \tag{4.2}$$

We define the action of these generators on a product of primary fields by the following contour integrals :

$$\begin{aligned} Q^a_0 \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i \left(w_i\right) \right) &= \oint\limits_{C_i} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \; J^a(z) \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i) \right) \\ Q^a_1 \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i) \right) &= \; g \oint\limits_{|z_1|>|z_2|} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \oint\limits_{C_i} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i} \; \theta_{12} \; f^{abc} : J^b(z_1) J^c(z_2) : \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with the contours of integration $C_i$ encircling the points $w_i$. The fields $\phi$ are $su(2)$ vectors : $\phi(w) = \phi^+(w) e_+ + \phi^-(w) e_-$, with $(e_+, e_-)$ a basis of $C^2$.

In order to evaluate the integrals in (4.3) we need the operator product expansion:

$$J^a(z)\phi(w) = \frac{J^a_0 \phi(w)}{z - w} + J^a_{-1}\phi(w) + \ldots \tag{4.4}$$

For the $\widehat{su(2)}$ $k = 1$ case, there is only one independent descendant field at the first level. This permits us to write the second term in (4.4) as:

$$J^a_{-1}\phi(w) = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \; t^a L_{-1}\phi(w) = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \; t^a \partial_w \phi(w) \tag{4.5}$$

where $t^a$ are the transposed of the $su(2)$ matrices in the fundamental representation, $\left[t^a, t^b\right] = -f^{abc} t^b$, and $\Delta = 1/4$ is the conformal dimension of the primary fields $\phi$.

It is more convenient to evaluate (4.3) on the components $\phi^\alpha$ of the fields $\phi$

$$Q^a_n \; \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \phi^{\alpha_i}_i(w_i) \right) \equiv \langle e^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_N} | Q^a_n | \prod_{i=1}^N \phi(w_i) \rangle$$

To fix our normalization, we take $f^{abc} = 2i\epsilon^{abc}$. It follows that the quadratic Casimir in the adjoint representation ($f^{abc} f^{bcd} = c_v \delta^{ad}$) has the value $c_v = -8$. If $\sigma^a \sigma^a = c_g I$, then $c_g = 3$. For later convenience, we take $g = 4\Delta/c_v = -1/8$.

After performing the integrals in (4.3) we obtain the matrix elements of the operators $Q^a$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_0^a \ \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \ \sigma_j^a\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \\
Q_1^a \ \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} + w_j \partial_{w_j}\right) \sigma_j^a - \frac{1}{c_v} \sum_{j\neq k} \theta_{kj} \ f^{abc} \sigma_k^b \sigma_j^c\right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

The operators $\sigma_j^a$ act on the fields $\phi_j$ as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_j^0 \phi_j^{\pm}(w_j) = \pm \phi_j^{\pm}(w_j) \\
&\sigma_j^+ \phi_j^+(w_j) = \sigma_j^- \phi_j^-(w_j) = 0 \\
&\sigma_j^+ \phi_j^-(w_j) = \phi_j^+(w_j) \\
&\sigma_j^- \phi_j^+(w_j) = \phi_j^-(w_j)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

with $\sigma_j^{\pm} = 1/2(\sigma_j^1 \pm \sigma_j^2)$. These operators have the commutation relations $\left[\sigma_i^a, \sigma_j^b\right] = \delta_{ij} f^{abc} \sigma_i^c$.

In (4.6) one recognizes the Yangian generators for the $su(2)$ dynamical model. As defined in [9], the coupling constant has the value $\lambda = -1/2 = -2\Delta$. This implies that the operators (4.1) form a representation of the $su(2)$ Yangian. Note that the fact that the Yangian representation (4.6) is invariant by simultaneous permutations of the positions and spin $(w_i, \sigma_i^a)$ is a direct consequence of the contour integral definition (4.3). For an algebra other than $\widehat{su(2)}$, $k = 1$, the equation (4.5) does not hold and the definition (4.1) of the Yangian generators must be changed [19].

A consequence of the Yangian symmetry is the existence of Ward identities :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^N \langle \ \sigma_j^a \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \ \rangle = 0 \\
&\sum_{i=1}^N w_j \partial_{w_j} \langle \ \sigma_j^a \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \ \rangle - \frac{1}{c_v} \ \sum_{j\neq k} \theta_{kj} \ f^{abc} \langle \ \sigma_k^b \sigma_j^c \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \ \rangle = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.8}
$$

The two point correlation functions are solutions of these equations.

Starting with the first two generators, $Q_0^a$ and $Q_1^a$, we can construct a transfer matrix satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation [20] :

$$
R_{00'}(x-y) \ T^0(x) \ T^{0'}(y) = T^{0'}(y) \ T^0(x) \ R_{00'}(x-y) \tag{4.9}
$$

with $R(x-y) = (x-y) + \lambda P_{00'}$, $P_{00'}$ being the permutation operator which exchange the two auxiliary spaces 0 and $0'$. If we denote by $T(x)$ a matrix with operator entries, $T^{ab}(x)$, then $T^0(x) = \sum_{a,b} X_0^{ba} T^{ab}(x)$ with $X_0^{ba}$ the matrices acting as $|b\rangle\langle a|$ in the auxiliary space 0. The generators of the Yangian algebra are the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of $T(x)$ :

$$T^{ab}(x) = \delta^{ab} + \lambda \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{x^{n+1}} T_n^{ab} \tag{4.10}$$

The equation (4.9) is equivalent to the following commutation relations :

$$[T_{n+1}^{ab}, T_m^{cd}] - [T_n^{ab}, T_{m+1}^{cd}] = \lambda \left( T_n^{cb} T_m^{ad} - T_m^{cb} T_n^{ad} \right) \tag{4.11a}$$

$$[T_0^{ab}, T_n^{cd}] = \delta^{cb} T_n^{ad} - \delta^{ad} T_n^{cd} \tag{4.11b}$$

The transfer matrix is uniquely determined by giving the first two generators and imposing the condition [21] [22] :

$$Det_q T(x) = T^{11}(x) T^{22}(x+\lambda) - T^{12}(x) T^{21}(x+\lambda) = 1 \tag{4.12}$$

The quantum determinant $Det_q T(x)$ commute with all the elements in the algebra [23], so the condition (4.12) can be imposed in a consistent way. The traces of $T_n$ are not restricted by (4.11 ); to fix them one have to impose (4.12) order by order in $x$. For the first two orders, the result is :

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^{11} + T_0^{22} &= 0 \\ T_1^{11} + T_1^{22} &= \lambda \left( T_0^{12} T_0^{21} - T_0^{11} T_0^{22} + T_0^{22} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Our definition for the matrix elements of $T_0$ and $T_1$ is :

$$T_n^{12} = Q_n^+, \quad T_n^{21} = Q_n^-, \quad T_n^{11} - T_n^{22} = Q_n^0, \qquad n = 0, 1 \tag{4.14}$$

We take $(4.11a)$ , $n > 0,\ m = 0$ as the definition of the higher level generators. The traces $\sum_a T_n^{aa}$ are fixed using the procedure we have just mentioned. The value of the coupling constant consistent with this definition is $\lambda = -1/2$.

A redefinition of the generators ${Q'}_0^a = Q_0^a$ , ${Q'}_1^a = Q_1^a + \alpha Q_0^a$ is always possible. The only effect on the transfer matrix is a spectral parameter shift $T'(x) = T(x-\alpha)$. The construction of the transfer matrix with unit determinant from the first two generators

is unique and the action of our input generators (4.14) is the same as for the dynamical model transfer matrix generators. These observations enable us to write :

$$T_{cft}(x)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)=f(x)\;T_{dyn}(x-\frac{N+1}{4})\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \tag{4.15}$$

Here $T_{cft}$ is the matrix we have just defined, $T_{dyn}$ is the transfer matrix for the N-particle dynamical model at $\lambda=-1/2$ and $f(x)$ is a function with the property $Det_q\left(f(x)T_{dyn}(x)\right)=1$.

The dynamical transfer matrix, for the coupling constant $\lambda=-1/2$, was defined in [9] :

$$T_{dyn}^{ab}(x)=\delta^{ab}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{j,k=1}^{N}X_j^{ab}(L^n)_{jk}\;x^{-n-1} \tag{4.16}$$

with $L_{ij}=w_j\partial_{w_j}\delta_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta ij)\theta_{ij}X_i^{ab}X_j^{ba}$ and $X_i^{ab}$ acting as $|a\rangle\langle b|$ on $i^{\text{th}}$ $su(2)$ copy. Here, the action of $X_i^{ab}$ on a primary field is, according to the definition (4.7), $X_i^{ab}\phi_i^c=\delta^{bc}\phi_i^a$. On functions symmetric by simultaneous permutations of coordinates and $su(2)$ indices, say $\psi(w,\alpha)$, the action of the transposed transfer matrix $T_{dyn}^0(x)=X_0^{ab}T_{dyn}^{ba}$ is given by :

$$T_{dyn}^0\psi(w,\alpha)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\frac{X_0^{ab}X_j^{ba}}{x-\widehat{D}_j}\right)\psi(w,\alpha) \tag{4.17}$$

where 0 is the auxiliary $su(2)$ space. The differentials $\widehat{D}_j$ are defined [24] [25] [26] [8] [6] by :

$$\widehat{D}_j=w_j\partial_{w_j}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i>j}\theta_{ji}K_{ji}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j}\theta_{ij}K_{ji} \tag{4.18}$$

where the operators $K_{ij}$ permute the coordinates, $K_{ij}w_i=w_jK_{ij}$. Note that (4.17) follows from (4.16) if the permutation operators $P_{ij}=\sum_{ab}X_i^{ab}X_j^{ba}$ are replaced with the position permutations $K_{ij}$.

The operators $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{D}_i^n\right)$ commute with the dynamical transfer matrix (4.17) and they are diagonal on the following basis of symmetric functions :

$$\psi_{\{k\}}(w_1,\ldots,w_N)=\prod_{i<j}(w_i-w_j)^{1/2}\;P_{\{k\}}^{(-1/2)}(w_1,\ldots,w_N) \tag{4.19}$$

Here, $P_{\{k\}}^{-1/2}(w_1,\ldots,w_N)$ denotes the Jack (Sutherland) polynomial, symmetric in $w$, associated to the partition $\{k\}$, with $k_1\geq k_2\geq\ldots\geq k_N$, at the value of the parameter $\lambda=-1/2$ [27] [11], Starting from the integers $k_i$, we define a set of half-integers, $d_i$ :

$$d_i=k_i+\frac{1}{2}(N-i) \tag{4.20}$$

which characterize the eigenvalues of $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{D}_i^n$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{D}_i^n \ \psi_{\{k\}}(w_1, \ldots, w_N) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i^n \right) \ \psi_{\{k\}}(w_1, \ldots, w_N) \tag{4.21}$$

## 5. The spinon multiplets.

We now want to identify the irreducible sub-representations of the Yangian algebra in the level one representation of $\widehat{su(2)}$. The first step is to find the highest weight vectors, i.e. the vectors satisfying :

$$\begin{aligned} T^{12}(x)|h.w.\rangle &= 0 \\ T^{11}(x)|h.w.\rangle &= t^{11}(x)|h.w.\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $t^{11}(x)$ is a function and not an operator. The other vectors in the representation are obtained by repeated actions of the lowering operator $T^{21}$. Obviously, the product of fields $\prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^+(w_i)$ is annihilated by $T^+(x) = T^{12}(x)$, so this product is a generating function for the highest weight vectors. We shall represent the primary fields $\phi$ as vertex operators :

$$\phi^{\pm}(z) =: e^{\pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi(z)} : \tag{5.2}$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is the bosonic field $\varphi(z) = q - ip \ln z + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \alpha_n \frac{z^{-n}}{n}$. The product of vertex operators has the property :

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^+(w_i) \right) = \prod_{i<j} (w_i - w_j)^{1/2} : e^{i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi(w_i)} : \tag{5.3}$$

When acting on the vacuum state, only the terms regular at $w_i = 0$ in (5.3) will survive. As the normal ordered exponential is monovalued and symmetric in $w_i$, we can expand this product on a basis of symmetric function. We choose the basis of the polynomials defined in (4.19) :

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^+(w_i) \right) |0\rangle = \prod_{i<j} (w_i - w_j)^{1/2} \sum_{l(k)=N} P_{\{k\}}^{(-1/2)}(w_1, \ldots, w_N) |\{k\}\rangle \tag{5.4}$$

where $l(k)$ is the length (number of parts) of the partition $\{k\}$. Using the representation (4.17) of the transfer matrix, the property (4.21) of the Jack polynomials and the fact that the basis in (5.4) is orthogonal, we infer the action of $T_{cft}(x)$ on the states $|\{k\}\rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{cft}(x)|\{k\}\rangle &= f(x) \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{x-\frac{N+1}{4}-d_i}\right) & 0 \\ \star & 1 \end{pmatrix} |\{k\}\rangle \\ &= f(x) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{P(x)} & 0 \\ \star & 1 \end{pmatrix} |\{k\}\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, for each highest weight vector $|\{k\}\rangle$ there is an associated polynomial $P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(x - \frac{N+1}{4} - d_i\right)$. According to Drinfeld [21] [22], all the information about the corresponding representation is encoded by the positions of the roots $d_i$ of this polynomial.

In order to obtain the $su(2)$ content of the representation labelled by $\{k\}$ (or $\{d_i\}$) we use a canonical transfer matrix :

$$T_d^{ab}(x) = \delta^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{X^{ab}}{x-d} \tag{5.6}$$

that satisfy the $RTT = TTR$ equation, with $X^{ab}$ the $su(2)$ matrices in the fundamental representation. Take the coproduct of two such matrices :

$$T_{d_1,d_2}^{ab}(x) = \sum_c T_{d_1}^{ac}(x) \otimes T_{d_2}^{cb}(x) \tag{5.7}$$

The h.w. vector of this matrix is the tensor product of the h.w. vectors of elementary matrices $T_d$, $|++\rangle = |+\rangle \otimes |+\rangle$, and has the spin equal to 1. If $d_1 = d_2 + 1/2$, the raising and lowering operators $T_{d_1,d_2}^{\pm}(x)$ will always send a spin 1 (symmetric) vector on a spin 1 vector. As the spin 0 state is not attainable from the h.w. state (and is not a h.w. state), we can drop it out. If $d_1 \neq d_2 + 1/2$, the matrix (5.7) corresponds to a product of two spin $1/2$ representations. In the same way, consider the matrix :

$$T_{d_1,\ldots,d_N}(x) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N} T_{d_i}\left(x - \frac{N+1}{4}\right) \tag{5.8}$$

Any sequence of $Q$ $d_i$'s separated by $1/2$ corresponds to a spin $Q/2$ $su(2)$ representation, and the matrix (5.8) corresponds to the $su(2)$ representation resulting from the tensor product of these spin $Q/2$ representations. Moreover,

$$T_{d_1,\ldots,d_N}(x)|h.w.\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{P(x)} & 0 \\ \star & 1 \end{pmatrix} |h.w.\rangle \tag{5.9}$$

with $P(x)$ the same as in (5.5). In conclusion, the representation generated from $|\{k\}\rangle$ and the representation induced by the transfer matrix (5.8) are isomorphic.

In the following we show how to associate a motif to the highest weight vector labelled by $d_i$'s ($d_i = k_i + \frac{1}{2}(N-i)$). Start with a set of empty cases labelled by natural numbers (including zero). Put a 0 on the positions $2d_1$,...,$2d_N$, then fill the other cases with alternating 0 and 1. This is possible, since $2d_i - 2d_{i+1}$ is always an odd number ($d_i = k_i + \frac{1}{2}(N-i)$) and the least of these numbers, $2d_N$, is even. The resulting motif never has two 1's on adjacent positions. The asymptotic behaviour of the motif is dictated by the parity of $2d_1$, i.e. by the parity of $N$. If $N$ is even, the motif is a finite rearrangement of the vacuum motif $01010101\cdots$, if $N$ is odd, the motif is generated from the primary sequence $001010101\cdots$.

The same construction can be achieved starting from the set $\{k_i\}$ . Take the semi-infinite sequence $01010101\cdots$ and call orbital the interval between two 1's separated by a sequence of 0's; then, for each $k_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ insert a 0 in the $k_i^{\text{th}}$ orbital. This procedure gives the result described in sect. 3 (the $k_i$'s are the same here and in sect. 3).

## 6. Spinon creating operators.

The one spinon states are generated by the positive modes of the primary fields :

$$\phi^{\pm}(w)|0\rangle = \sum_{n\geq 0} w^n \ \phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle \tag{6.1}$$

where $\phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle$ denotes the states with the spinon momentum equal to $n$. These states are characterized by the values of the charge and energy :

$$p \ \phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ \phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle, \qquad L_0 \ \phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle = (n+\frac{1}{4}) \ \phi_n^{\pm}|0\rangle \tag{6.2}$$

Remark that for each $n$ there is a doublet of states ("spin-up" and "spin-down" spinons). The corresponding motifs are of type : $01010\cdots10100101\cdots$ . The lowest energy doublet has $n = 0$ and is represented by the motif $001010101\cdots$.

Similarly, the $N$-spinon highest weight vectors are obtained from a product of $N$ fields :

$$\phi^+(w_1)\ldots\phi^+(w_N)|0\rangle = \prod_{i<j}(w_i - w_j)^{1/2} \sum_{k_1\geq\ldots\geq k_N} P^{(-1/2)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_N}(w_1,\ldots,w_N)|k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle \tag{6.3}$$

where $P^{(-1/2)}_{k_1,\ldots,k_N}$ is again the Jack polynomial associated to the partition $k_1 \geq \ldots \geq k_N$ at $\lambda = -1/2$. The charge and energy of the $N$ spinon states are :

$$\begin{aligned} p\ |k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle &= \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}}\ |k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle, \\ L_0\ |k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle &= \left[\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i\right]\ |k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Note that the operators creating the $N$-spinon states $|\{k\}\rangle$ are not the product of $N$ operators creating the one-spinon states $|k_1\rangle,\ |k_2\rangle, \ldots$. This fact is an echo of the semionic statistics of the spinons.

One can try to obtain the states $|k_1,\ldots,k_N\rangle$ from the vacuum using the bosonic creation operators, $\alpha_n$. A method for doing this is inspired from the construction of Jack polynomials. We need to recall few basic properties of these polynomials. We refer to [11] for more details. The Jack Polynomials $P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}}(w_1,\ldots,w_N)$ are the eigenfunctions of the differential operator :

$$D(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2 {\partial_{w_i}}^2 - 2\lambda \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{w_i^2}{w_i - w_j}\partial_{w_i} \tag{6.5}$$

which is a gauge transformed version of the Calogero-Sutherland hamiltonian. They are indexed by a partition $\{k\}$ of length $l(k) \leq N$ and the corresponding eigenvalues are :

$$e_{\{k\}} = \sum_i k_i^2 + \lambda \sum_j {k'}_j^2 - [\lambda(2N-1) - 1] \sum_i k_i \tag{6.6}$$

with $k'_j$ the parts of the partition conjugate to $\{k\}$. If we describe a partition $\{k\}$ as a Young tableau with $N$ rows of $k_1,\ldots,k_N$ squares, then the conjugate partition $\{k'\}$ has $N$ columns of $k_1,\ldots,k_N$ squares. Let $w = (w_1,\ldots,w_N)$ be a set of variables ($N$ arbitrary), $\{k\}$ a partition of length $l(k)$ and $|k| = \sum_i k_i$. Define $p_{\{k\}}$ as follows :

$$p_{\{k\}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l(k)} p_{k_i}, \qquad p_n = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j^n$$

If $\{k\}$ has $n_i$ parts equal to $i$, then write :

$$z_{\{k\}} = (1^{n_1} 2^{n_2} \ldots) n_1! n_2! \ldots$$

Define a scalar product on the space of symmetric functions by :

$$\langle\, p_{\{k\}}, p_{\{r\}} \rangle = \delta_{\{k\},\{r\}}\ z_{\{k\}}\ (-\lambda)^{-l(k)} \tag{6.7}$$

where $\delta_{\{k\},\{r\}}$ is equal to 1 if $\{k\} = \{r\}$ and is zero otherwise. The Jack polynomials also are orthogonal with respect to this scalar product. The power-sum symmetric functions $p_{\{k\}}$ and Jack symmetric functions $P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}}$ provide two basis for the space of symmetric functions, connected by a triangular matrix :

$$P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}} = \sum_{\{r\}\leq\{k\}} c_{\{k\}\{r\}}(\lambda)\ p_{\{r\}} \tag{6.8}$$

where the partial ordering on the partitions is defined as follows : $\{r\} \leq \{k\}$ if $|r| = |k|$ and $r_1 + r_2 + \ldots + r_i \leq k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_i$ for any $i$. The constants $c_{\{k\}\{r\}}$ are calculable in principle, but there is no general formula for them. We give as examples the expressions of $P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}}$ for $|k| = 1, 2, 3$ normalized such that the coefficient of the monomial $z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \ldots$ is equal to 1 :

$$P^{(\lambda)}_1 = p_1, \quad \begin{cases} P^{(\lambda)}_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 - p_2) \\ P^{(\lambda)}_2 = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\left(p_1^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda}p_2\right) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} P^{(\lambda)}_{1,1,1} = \frac{1}{6}(p_1^3 - 3p_1p_2 + 2p_3) \\ P^{(\lambda)}_{2,1} = \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda-1}\left(p_1^3 - \frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda}p_1p_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}p_3\right) \\ P^{(\lambda)}_3 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}\left(p_1^3 - \frac{3}{\lambda}p_1p_2 + \frac{2}{\lambda^2}p_3\right) \end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

A very important property of the Jack polynomials is the duality $\lambda \leftrightarrow 1/\lambda$. Let $\omega_\lambda$ be an automorphism on the ring of symmetric polynomials, defined by :

$$\omega_\lambda(p_n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda}\ p_n \tag{6.10}$$

Then :

$$\omega_\lambda\left(P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}}\right) = j_{\{k\}}(\lambda)\ P^{(1/\lambda)}_{\{k'\}} \tag{6.11}$$

where $\{k\}$ and $\{k'\}$ are two conjugate partitions and $j_{\{k\}}(\lambda)$ is the norm of $P^{(\lambda)}_{\{k\}}$ with respect to the scalar product (6.7). Now, consider two sets of variables, $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_N)$ and $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_M)$. The duality property allows us to write one of the generating functions of the Jack polynomials ($\lambda = -2$) as :

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{a=1}^{M}(1 - t_a w_i) &= e^{-\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{a=1}^{M}\frac{(t_a w_i)^n}{n}} \\ &= e^{-\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{p_n w_i^n}{n}} = \sum_{\{k\}}(-1)^{|k|}\ P^{(-1/2)}_{\{k\}}(w)\ P^{(-2)}_{\{k'\}}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

The sum is over the partitions with at most $N$ parts with $k_1 \leq M$. The relation (6.8) is independent of the number of variables.

We now apply eq. (6.12) to the construction of the spinon creating operators. If we put formally $M \to \infty$, $\alpha_{-n} = -\sqrt{2}p_n$ for $n > 0$, (6.12) is the expansion of the positive modes of the vertex operator product. In virtue of equation (6.3) and taking into account the zero mode, $q$, we obtain :

$$|\{k\}\rangle = P^{(-2)}_{\{k'\}}(\alpha)\ e^{i\frac{N}{\sqrt{2}}q}|0\rangle \tag{6.13}$$

Note that the scalar product (6.7) for $\lambda = -2$ is consistent with the scalar product on the Fock space :

$$\langle \prod_i \alpha_{-n_i}, \prod_j \alpha_{-m_j} \rangle = \langle 0| \prod_i \alpha_{n_i} \prod_j \alpha_{-m_j}|0\rangle \tag{6.14}$$

## 7. Conserved charges

For the dynamical model, the conserved charges commuting with the Yangian are generated by the quantum determinant of the transfer matrix. We denote by $H^{dyn}_n$ the conserved quantities of the dynamical model. On symmetric functions, these operators act as $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{D}_i^n\right)$, so their eigenvalues are $\sum_{i=1}^N \left(k_i + \frac{1}{2}(N-i)\right)^n$. As we have already imposed restrictions on the quantum determinant of the conformal field theory tranfer matrix, we cannot use the same procedure to obtain the conserved quantities commuting with the Yangian generators (4.1). At present, there is no systematical way to find the higher order hamiltonians of the conformal field theory. However, we know some operators which act on the primary fields as linear combinations of the dynamical model hamiltonians.

Given a motif, we define $E_n = \sum_i \left(m_i^{(j)}\right)^n - \sum_i (m_i)^n$, $m_i$ being the positions of 1's on the motif and $m_i^{(j)}$, $j = 0, 1$ the positions of 1's on the appropriate primary motif (as defined in sect.3). As in [4], we will express the values of the conserved quantities in terms of $E_n$'s.

Obviously, the energy $L_0$ is one of the conserved charges :

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi}\ z : J^a(z)J^a(z) : \\ L_0 \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i)\right)|0\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N (w_i\partial_{w_i} + \Delta)\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i)\right)|0\rangle \\ &= \left(H_1^{dyn} + \frac{N}{4}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_i(w_i)\right)|0\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

with $\kappa = c_g/\Delta = 12$ in our normalisation and $C_0$ a contour that encircles the origin. The energy evaluated on a highest weight state $|\{k\}\rangle$ is :

$$L_0|\{k\}\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(k_i + \frac{1}{2}(N-i)\right) + \frac{N}{4}\right)|\{k\}\rangle = \left(\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i\right)|\{k\}\rangle \tag{7.2}$$

The values of $L_0$ are the same as the values of $E_1$.

The second hamiltonian was defined in [4]as ;

$$H_2^{cft} = \oint_{C_0}\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z_1|>|z_2|}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi i}\ \theta_{12}\theta_{21} : J^a(z_1)J^a(z_2) : \tag{7.3}$$

The action of $H_2^{cft}$ on a product of primary fields is :

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^{cft}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)|0\rangle &= \left[4\sum_{i=1}^{N}(w_i\partial_{w_i})^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i\partial_{w_i} - \sum_{i\neq j} h_{ij}\sigma_i^a\sigma_j^a\right]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)|0\rangle \\ &= \left(4H_2^{dyn} + 2H_1^{dyn}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)|0\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

with $h_{ij} = \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}$. For the highest weight vector, we find :

$$H_2^{cft}|\{k\}\rangle = 2\left(2\sum_{i=1}^{N}k_i^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{k_1}k_j'^2 + 2N\sum_{i=1}^{N}k_i + \frac{1}{6}N(N^2-1)\right)|\{k\}\rangle \tag{7.5}$$

where $k_j'$ are the parts of the partition conjugate to $\{k\}$. The eigenvalues of $H_2^{cft}$ are equal to the values of $2E_2$.

Like the second hamiltonian, the third one was inspired from one of the conserved charges of the Haldane-Shastry spin chain :

$$\begin{aligned} H_3^{cft} = &\oint_{|z_1|>|z_2|}\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|z_2|>|z_3|}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi i}\oint_{C_0}\frac{dz_3}{2\pi i}\ \theta_{12}\theta_{23}\theta_{31}\ f^{abc} : J^a(z_1)J^b(z_2)J^c(z_3) : \\ &+ \frac{3c_v}{2}\oint_{|z_1|>|z_2|}\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C_0}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi i}\ \theta_{12}^2\theta_{21}\ \ : J^a(z_1)J^a(z_2) : \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

On a product of primary fields, this operator acts as :

$$H_3^{cft}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) = \Big[\sum_{i\neq j\neq k}\theta_{ij}\theta_{jk}\theta_{ki}f^{abc}\sigma_i^a\sigma_j^b\sigma_k^c - 12\sum_{i\neq j}h_{ij}(w_i\partial_{w_i}+w_j\partial_{w_j})\sigma_i^a\sigma_j^a$$
$$+32\sum_{i=1}^{N}(w_i\partial_{w_i})^3+48\sum_{i=1}^{N}(w_i\partial_{w_i})^2+16\sum_{i=1}^{N}w_i\partial_{w_i}-12\sum_{i\neq j}h_{ij}\sigma_i^a\sigma_j^a\Big]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right)$$
$$=\left(32H_3+48H_2+16H_1\right)^{dyn}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_i^{\alpha_i}(w_i)\right) \tag{7.7}$$

The eigenvalues of this operator can be calculated from the eigenvalues of the operators $H_n^{dyn}$. The same values are obtained if we evaluate on the motifs $8E_3+12E_2+4E_1$.

The fact that $H_n^{cft}$ act on products of primary fields by a linear combination of $H_n^{dyn}$ implies that $H_n^{cft}$ commute with each other and with the Yangian generator (4.1). Then, all the states belonging to an Yangian multiplet have the same eigenvalue of $H_n^{cft}$ that we evaluated on a particular (the highest weight) vector.

## References


[1] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys.Rev.Lett. 60 (1988) 635

[2] B.S.Shastry, Phys.Rev.Lett. 60 (1988) 639

[3] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67 (1991) 937

[4] F.D.M.Haldane, Z.N.C.Ha, J.C.Talstra, D.Bernard and V.Pasquier, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69 (1992) 2021

[5] S.Dasmahapatra, R.Dedem, T.R.Klassen, B.M.McCoy, E.Melzer, Int.J.Mod.Phys.B 7 (1993) 3617 and references therein

[6] A.P.Polychronakos, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69 (1992) 703

[7] K.Hikami and M.Wadati, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 62 (1993)

[8] I.Cherednik, RIMS-742 preprint (1990)

[9] D.Bernard, M.Gaudin, F.D.M.Haldane and V.Pasquier J.Phys.A 26 (1993) 5219

[10] M.Gaudin, Saclay preprint SPhT92-158

[11] R.P.Stanley, Adv.Math. 77 (1989) 76

[12] K.Bardakci and M.Halpern, Phys.Rev.D 3 (1971) 2493

[13] C.N.Yang and S.C.Zhang, Mod.Phys.Lett.B 4 (1990) 759

[14] I.Frenkel and V.G.Kac, Invent.Math. 62 (1980) 23

[15] G.Segal, Commun.Math.Phys. 80 (1981) 301

[16] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67 (1991) 937

[17] M.Kashiwara, Commun.Math.Phys. 133 (1990) 249

[18] E.Melzer, preprint TAUP 2125-93 hep-th/9312043

[19] K.Schoutens, preprint PUPT-1442 hep-th/9401154

[20] L.D.Faddeev, *"Integrable models in 1+1 dimensional quantum field theory"* Les Houches lectures, Elsevier Science Publishers (1984)

[21] V.G.Drinfeld, *"Quantum Groups"*, Proc. of the ICM, Berkeley (1987) 798

[22] V.G.Drinfeld, Funct.Anal.Appl. 20 (1988) 56

[23] A.G.Izergin and V.Korepin, Sov.Phys.Dokl. 26 (1981) 653

[24] C.F.Dunkl, Transl.Amer.Math.Soc. 311 (1989) 167

[25] E.M.Opdam, Invent.Math. 98 (1989) 1

[26] G.E.Heckman, Invent.Math. 98 (1991) 341

[27] B.Sutherland, Phys.Rev.A 5 (1972) 1372